Forest Practice Guide Non-Regulatory







Harvest Slash 6.3 Managing Slash in and around Rivers



Large quantities of *slash* are undesirable in *rivers* and may have significant adverse effects on instream ecology, channel stability and infrastructure in and outside the forest boundary. *Slash* must be managed where it could enter a *river*.

Small amounts of stable *slash* can provide instream benefits (e.g. food and shelter for insects and fish, and by reducing post-harvest fluctuations in *stream* temperature).



This guide is provided as a reference document and does not constitute a statutory obligation under the Resource Management Act 1991 or the National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry.

Please refer to the 'how to use' section of the introduction at http://docs.nzfoa.org.nz/forest-practice-guides/ for advice on how to use this guide.









A Where and when to use

- 1. Try to avoid or minimise *slash* entering *rivers* where there is an identified risk of:
 - a. Blocking or damming a *water body*, contributing to bank erosion or a debris flow.
 - b. Damaging downstream infrastructure or *water bodies*.
 - c. It being difficult or impossible to manually extract (e.g. from steep sided *rivers*).

B Where not to use

Not applicable for this FPG

C Design

- 1. Assess the terrain, *river* type, values, and risk associated with inputs of *slash*.
- 2. Undertake a *water body* risk assessment to identify the likelihood and severity of effects if *slash* did move off site. In the assessment consider:
 - a. Likelihood of high intensity rainfall events, and their frequency.
 - b. Catchment size bigger catchments often have higher energy flows and this can be exacerbated by the cumulative effects of harvest in the same catchment.
 - c. Topography steep land sheds water more quickly. High energy water flows will mobilise *slash*.
 - d. Receiving environment. For example, does a high energy river deliver into a high-volume river, or one with stop-banks? This increases the risk that slash could be transported long distances.
 - e. Water body ecological values. Identify species present and their rarity. Refer to the NES-PF Fish Spawning Indicator¹.
 - f. Social effects of slash moving off site. How close is it to neighbouring properties – houses, fences, water supply intakes, beaches, recreational areas etc?

- g. In-forest and off-site infrastructure (e.g. roads, *culverts*, bridges, state highways).
- h. *Riparian areas* and remaining forest.

 These can be operational risks or benefits
 (e.g. standing/live tree *slash* traps) or,
 depending on the situation, riparian width and
 vegetation type can prevent *slash* movement
 by forming a barrier.
- Decide how to manage slash after the risk assessment has been completed. Harvest methods should minimise the amount of slash and length of stream damage where practicable (e.g. bridle to a fixed skyline and pull through strategically located narrow corridors).
- 4. Risk mitigation strategies. If it is not possible to remove *slash* from *rivers*, install *slash* traps at strategic locations downstream. This could be on an adjoining property. Larger traps may need resource consent seek engineering or specialist advice. Be prepared to clean these out on a regular basis.
- 5. Be aware that areas of significant *windthrow* will increase the quantity of *slash* that could be in and around *streams*.

 $^{1 \}quad \text{http://www.mpi.govt.nz/growing-and-harvesting/forestry/national-environmental-standards-for-plantation-forestry/fish-spawning-indicator/\\$









Operational controls

- Minimise the amount of slash that is deposited in the river by using directional felling, extracting away from rivers, or other measures where possible.
- 2. Consider measures that limit stem breakage during falling and extraction.
- 3. Minimise damage to *riparian vegetation areas*. These protect *water bodies*, help reduce erosion and *sedimentation*, and may have important ecological values.
- 4. Follow a *slash* management plan. Remove as much *slash* as needed to meet the plan's performance standards.
- 5. It is often better to remove *slash* from or adjacent to *water bodies* before a line shift.
- 6. Ensure that *slash* left adjacent to a *water body* is not in a position where it could be picked up by large flood flows (e.g. a one in 20-year event), where possible.
- 7. Consider extracting non-merchantable smaller dimension stems and heads above water bodies with steep convex slopes (steeper closer to the water body).

National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry

Particular relevant provisions for managing *slash* are Regulations 20, 69, 83 – 92.









Examples

Unacceptable slash loading in a small *river*.



Minimal slash removed from a *river* therefore posing a risk of blocking or damming the *river* or damaging downstream infrastructure.













Trees have been left standing, where extraction would have been difficult and added non-retrievable *slash* into the *river*. Harvesting trees from either side of the *river* also minimises damage to the *riparian margins*.

Contact



Forest Owners Association Level 9, 93 The Terrace Wellington 6143



www.nzfoa.org.nz

Other Practice Guides in this series



6.1 Managing Processing Slash on Landings



6.2 Managing Cut-over Slash on High Risk Slopes



6.3 Managing Slash in and around Rivers

https://docs.nzfoa.org.nz/ forest-practice-guides/

to view all guides



6.4 Slash Traps

